THE VOCALIZATION OF THE PHOENICIAN-PUNIC WORD DL

Philip C. Schmitz

The Phoenician-Punic word DL is a preposition generally glossed "with"¹. This description can be made to account for all of its occurrences, although the word (or a set of homographs) may be polyvalent². The etymology and vocalization of Phoenician-Punic DL are unclear. In this brief note I offer a possible answer to the question of vocalization.

In the case of $\Delta \in \lambda \alpha \alpha \sigma \tau \acute{a} \rho \tau \sigma c$, the unattested Phoenician spelling would be *DL(Y)'STRT. The name's theophoric element is clearly the divine name 'STRT, Astarte. The first element is the preposition DL, "with."

The vocalization is difficult to analyze morphologically. Greek $\Delta \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota$ could represent either /delay/ or /delē/. The name $\Pi \tau o \lambda \varepsilon \mu \alpha \iota o \varsigma$, spelled in Phoenician PTLMYS/Š, indicates a Phoenician interpretation of Gk. $\alpha \iota$ as a diphthong. Note, however, 'LYYT = $E\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota$ (KAI 41.4), where the Phoenician spelling leaves the final 'diphthong' $\alpha \iota$ unrepresented. This implies an interpretation of $\alpha \iota$ as $\tilde{\varepsilon}$. Neither example is conclusive, and each involves a Phoenician representation of a Greek name rather than the opposite case.

PPG³, 179 (§250). The occurrences are: KAI 10.14; 26 A II 6; 80.1; 81.2; 119.2; 161,3; 165.6-7; CIS I 112 a-b; 5510.5-6, 11; 6000 bis 4; NP 61.3 (see KAI, vol. 3, 74-77).

C.R. Krahmalkov, *Phoenician-Punic Dictionary* (OLA 90 = StPhoen 15), Leuven 2000, for example, distinguishes DL II, prep. "without" (KAI 10.14; 26 A II 6), from DL III, conj. "and, also, as well as, together with" (147-148; see also D-L-Y, 148-149). The entry DLHMS (CIS 112 a-b) can be reinterpreted as DL HMS "with Amasis".

B. Niese, Flavii Iosephi Opera, Berlin 1889, 5.ix-xxiv; F. Jacoby, Die Fragmente der griechischen Historiker, 1923-1958 (2d ed., Leiden 1957-1964), no. 783.

⁴ F.L. Benz, Personal Names in the Phoenician and Punic Inscriptions, Roma 1972, 223. I find no citation or discussion of the name Δελαιαστάρτος in this study, or in C. Bonnet, Astarté: Dossier documentaire et perspectives historiques, Roma 1996, 38-39. Both works are principally concerned with epigraphic texts not Greek texts.

⁵ Benz, op. cit., 73.

88 Ph.C. Schmitz

In the case of the name $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \acute{\alpha} \rho \tau o \varsigma$, the $\alpha \iota$ probably represents the Phoenician first-person pronominal suffix⁶. The name can be glossed "Astarte is with me".

The etymology of the word must yet be discovered. Like the preposition 'L, the preposition DL is likely to derive from a III-y root⁷. The etymon is thereby D-L-Y, but further identification is not yet possible.

On the morphology, see PPG³, 182-183 (§254 iii). C.R. Krahmalkov, A Phoenician-Punic Grammar, Leiden 2001, 51 (Form D), 56.

On the pattern, see PPG³, ibid.; cf. Krahmalkov, ibid., 240 (< dall).